

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT TACOMA

8  
9 ALEXANDER PAGE,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 PIERCE COUNTY CORRECTIONS,

13 Defendants.

14  
15 Case No. C08-5257 BHS/KLS

16 ORDER DENYING MOTION  
17 TO AMEND AND  
18 CONSOLIDATE

19 Before the court is Plaintiff's motion to amend cases (Dkt. # 8) and Plaintiff's proposed  
20 amended consolidated complaint, in which Plaintiff requests that the court "consolidate" this action  
21 with Case No. C08-5215BHS. For the reasons stated below, the court finds that Plaintiff's motion  
22 should be denied and this case should be administratively closed.

23 **DISCUSSION**

24 On April 23, 2008, Plaintiff filed a proposed civil rights complaint. (Dkt. # 1). On April 29,  
25 2008, Plaintiff was directed to pay the filing fee or submit an *in forma pauperis* application. (Dkt.  
# 2). On May 8 and 14, 2008, Plaintiff sent letters to the Court clerk regarding his ability to obtain  
prison trust account information. (Dkts. # 3 and 4). On June 9, 2008, the court ordered Plaintiff to  
show cause why this case should not be dismissed for failure to submit a completed application to  
proceed *in forma pauperis* or pay the filing fee. (Dkt. # 6).

26 Plaintiff has not complied with the court's directive to complete his *informa pauperis*  
27 application. Instead, Plaintiff filed a motion to amend cases (Dkt. # 8), and a proposed amended  
28

1 consolidated complaint (Dkt. # 9). In those motions, Plaintiff requests that the court “consolidate”  
2 this case with his previously filed case, No. C08-5215BHS. In that case, the court has granted  
3 Plaintiff’s *in forma pauperis* application (Dkt. # 6) and has issued an order to amend his proposed  
4 “amended consolidated complaint.”

5 As Plaintiff will be allowed to amend his proposed complaint to plead his causes of action in  
6 one case, there is no need to “consolidate.” In addition, as Plaintiff never paid the filing fee or  
7 completed *in forma pauperis* application, there is no ongoing case to “consolidate” with Case No.  
8 C08-5215BHS.

9 It is therefore, **ORDERED**:

10 (1) Plaintiff’s motion to amend cases (Dkt. # 8) is **DENIED**; and  
11 (2) The Court Clerk shall administratively close this case.

12  
13 DATED this 30th day of June, 2008.

14  
15   
16 Karen L. Strombom  
17 United States Magistrate Judge